May 12, 2004

Mr. Ken Johnson Assistant City Attorney City of Waco - Legal Services P.O. Box 2570 Waco, Texas 76702-2570

OR2004-3886

Dear Mr. Johnson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 201685.

The Waco-McLennan County Public Health District (the "district") received two requests, from the same requestor, for the name of the individual who submitted the complaint against the requestor, and the district's policy regarding entering property without notice or legal right. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we must address the district's obligations under section 552.301 of the Government Code. Section 552.301 describes the procedural obligations placed on a governmental body that receives a written request for information that it wishes to withhold. Pursuant to section 552.301(b), the governmental body must ask for the attorney general's decision and state the exceptions that apply within ten business days after receiving the request. See Gov't Code § 552.301(a), (b). Within fifteen business days of receiving the request, the governmental body must submit to this office (1) written comments stating the reasons why the stated exceptions apply that would allow the information to be withheld, (2) a copy of the written request for information, (3) a signed statement or sufficient evidence showing the date the governmental body received the written request, and (4) a copy of the specific

¹ We note that the district only requests a ruling from our office regarding the identity of the complainant, and not the district's policy for entering property without notice or legal right. You state that the district will either make the remaining requested information available or timely request an opinion regarding such information from our office. Therefore, this ruling only addresses the portion of the requests seeking the identity of the complainant.

information requested or representative samples, labeled to indicate which exceptions apply to which parts of the documents. *Id.* § 552.301(e)(1)(A)-(D).

In your letter to the requestor, you state that the district received this request on February 23, 2004. However, you did not request a ruling from this office until March 10, 2004. Furthermore, as of the date of this letter, you have not submitted to this office a copy of the specific information at issue. Thus, the district failed to comply with the procedural requirements of section 552.301.

Pursuant to section 552.302 of the Government Code, a governmental body's failure to timely submit to this office the information required in section 552.301(e) results in the legal presumption that the information is public and must be released. Information that is presumed public must be released unless a governmental body demonstrates a compelling reason to withhold the information to overcome this presumption. See Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381-82 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ) (governmental body must make compelling demonstration to overcome presumption of openness pursuant to statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.302); Open Records Decision No. 319 (1982). You contend that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Ordinarily, section 552.101 can provide a compelling reason to overcome the presumption of openness. We note, however, that you raise the informer's privilege under section 552.101. The informer's privilege facet of section 552.101 serves to protect the flow of information to a governmental body; it does not serve to protect a third person. Open Records Decision No. 549 at 5 (1990). Thus, unlike other section 552.101 assertions, the informer's privilege aspect of section 552.101 may be waived by the governmental body. Id. at 6. Therefore, because you have failed to comply with section 552.301, we conclude that you have waived your argument under section 552.101 and the informer's privilege. See Gov't Code § 552.007, .301, .302. Because the district raises no other exceptions to disclosure of this information, we find that the district must release it to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. Id. § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. Id. § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. Id. § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Lauren E. Kleine

Laurent Kleine

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

LEK/seg

Ref: ID# 201685

c: Mr. Bill Johnson P.O. Box 11009

Waco, Texas 76716